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Introduction

NPP Mühleberg, Switzerland
- Latitude 46.969, Longitude 7.268
- BWR, Mark-I Containment
- Operating since 1972
- 373 MWe, 72.3 bar, 240 Fuel Elements
- Decommissioning commences in 2020

Segmentation and conditioning of spent fuel channels (FC)
- Press-and-Cut-Method
- Conditioning of FC fragments in 200 lt drums
Recent History of Spent Fuel Channel Decommissioning

• Campaign 2004/2005 was carried out by a different service provider with a different tool design. Technical difficulties and unplanned maintenance activities on the equipment resulted in high doses.

• Campaign 2014 was carried out by a new service provider with a new design of the underwater press.

• Although no unplanned maintenance became necessary, the campaign was stopped in the middle due to the low filling degree of the final storage units and the slow throughput of FC's in the process.

• Clarifying discussions on management level as well as on project level (stakeholder involvement) were held between the service provider and KKM to clarify the expectations. Improvement plans were developed and agreed upon.

• A fundamental design overhaul was carried out by the service provider, reflecting and considering substantial input from the KKM project organisation (stakeholder involvement).
Fuel Channel conditioning – overview over the process

**Process Stages**

1. Underwater press and cut segmentation of spent fuel channels in the spent fuel pool
2. Shielded transfer of baskets containing the compacted segments from the reactor building to the conditioning container in the turbine building
3. Preparation of cement for the conditioning process
4. Transfer of baskets into a shielded conditioning container into the final storage drum
5. Pouring and compacting of cement, first 12 h of the hardening process
6. Transfer into the interim storage for RW.
Some impressions of the method and the process
Aspects to consider in effective ALARA planning

Hierarchy of controls

- **Elimination**
- **Substitution**
- **Isolation**
- **Engineering**
- **Administration**
- **PPE**

PEMEM – Perspective

- **People:** competence, experience, organisation, interaction (teams)
- **Equipment:** suitability, simplicity, robustness, safety
- **Materials:** suitability, associated hazards
- **Environment:** present hazards, developing hazards
- **Methods:** suitability, simplicity, minimal collateral impacts, no additional risk induction
Factors considered in the KKM Fuel channel compacting campaign

People:
Experience & Knowledge Transfer

Equipment:
• Simplicity in design & operation
• Simplicity in assembling & disassembling
• Strong water currents
• Upfront testing

Material:
Coating of equipment for easy decontamination

Environment:
Water quality reduces ambient dose rate in the workplace

Method:
• Press-cutting process
• Post operation decontamination of FP makeup-system
Fuel Channel conditioning – RP learnings

**Decontamination:**
- More collective dose was accumulated in the decontamination stage of the 2016 campaign.

**Causes & Contributors:**
- More equipment than foreseen was decontaminated
- Dismantling and decontamination of equipment not foreseen in the planning

**Learning:**
- Cut-off or intervention criteria's should be pre-defined not only for the task but also for supporting activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Dose planned [mSv]</th>
<th>Dose effective [mSv]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assembling RB</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting RB</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disassembling RB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP Services RB</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditioning</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decontamination</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP Services TB</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.85</strong></td>
<td><strong>20.59</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Fuel Channel Conditioning
Performance benchmark of last three campaigns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of shifts</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filling degree [FC / drum]</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditioned FC total</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditioned FC / shift</td>
<td>ca. 9</td>
<td>ca. 7</td>
<td>ca. 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drums per day</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective dose total [mSv]</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective dose / drum [mSv]</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective dose / FC conditioned [mSv]</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs during the campaigns</td>
<td>ja</td>
<td>nein</td>
<td>nein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary: Filling Degree</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective dose</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance / Repairs</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
<td>😊😊😊</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fuel Channel Conditioning – lessons learned

Confirmation of well known factors:

• Integration of all **stakeholders** in the planning process is crucial!

• **PEMEM & Hierarchie of controls** are important **optimisation principles**

• Economic solutions are ALARA – ALARA solutions are economic solutions

Next Level – Substitution!

• Store spent fuel in storage casks within their spent fuel channels!
  No further channel conditioning necessary!
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