2003 International ALARA Symposium

Fuel decontamination at Ringhals 1 with the new decontamination process ICEDEC™

E. Fredriksson, R. Ivars, A. Rosengren Westinghouse Västerås, Sweden

> G. Granath *Ringhals AB* Väröbacka, Sweden

eva.fredriksson@se.westinghouse.com

2003 International ALARA Symposium, January 12-15, 2003 - Orlando Florida





<u>Ice</u> <u>dec</u>ontamination

• Fuel decontamination by abrasion with ice particles





Background

 Many reactors had average radiation levels far above recommendations
 Mandose reduction required

• Many ALARA remedies already taken

 \Rightarrow New approach needed!





Background contd.

- Attack the origin of the radiation the fuel crud
 The main part of the radiation originates from cobalt
 - -Co-60 worst nuclide





Development of the fuel decontamination technique ICEDECTM

- Developed by Westinghouse Atom in co-operation with Ringhals 1
- Feasibility studies 1995-1997
- Loop performance tests 1998
- Fuel integrity tests 1998
- Pilot plant tests with synthetic loose crud 1998
- Evaluation and preparation for full-scale testing 1999-2000
- Full-scale tests of spent fuel 2001
- Decontamination of two year-old fuel 2001
 The fuel was put back in core for further irradiation





Criteria

Criteria for development of a fuel decontamination method:

- Remove the fuel crud without risking the fuel integrity.
- The method should be non chemical
- The oxide-layer on the fuel cladding should not be affected.
- Prevent the removed crud from reaching the pool water.





ICEDEC™ – Sketch of the system







BWR ICEDECTM

















ICEDECTM filter unit

Four parallel filter modules

 ¬filtering in two steps
 -two sections each, 10 μm, 1 μm

 One filter module in series with the others

 ¬0,5 μm

- Outer dimensions as a fuel assembly
- Optional: Ion exchange module
 Mixed bed resins





ICEDEC™ filter unit *contd*.

Capacity: 5 kg crud

 Corresponding to approximately 150 two-year-old fuel assemblies (Swedish and Finnish BWR conditions)





Decontamination tests

Ringhals 1 2001

- Spent fuel (five-year-old)
 Verification tests
- Two-year-old fuel
 Decontamination of fuel for further irradiation





Verification tests

- Procedure, process parameters (flow, pressure...)
- Fuel integrity
- Filter efficiency
 - オgamma scanning
- Decontamination efficiency

 - ↗on-line gamma detection
 - オgamma scanning





Decontamination of two-year-old fuel

Decontamination at Ringhals 1, June 2001

- Fuel inspection before and after decontamination
- Decontamination efficiency
 - rud sampling
 - ↗on-line gamma detection





ICEDEC™ – Sketch of the system







Process verification studies







Process verification studies *contd.*

- The crud was only removed when ice particles was fed to the fuel assembly
- The decontamination ceased within 10 sec after ice feeding was stopped

Easy to manage the decontamination process

- Decontamination and dismounting of equipment free from complications
- Mandoses as for normal fuel services





Fuel integrity - inspection programme

Fuel inspection - aim

- Visual inspection of sub-assemblies, sub channels
 mechanical integrity of the fuel
- Gamma scanning

オspacer displacements

• Oxide thickness measurement

>>depth of the decontamination procedure

• Crud sampling

オamount of removed crud





Results of the fuel inspection

 Details presented at Jahrestagung Kerntechnique, Annual Meeting on Nuclear Technology, May 2002, Germany







Visual inspection

- Normal behaviour both before and after the decontamination
- The rods remained in contact with the bottom tie plate
- All components, such as spacers, compression springs, nuts and tie plates were free from any defects





Inspection of five-year-old fuel



Bottom plate before decontamination

Bottom plate after decontamination







Inspection of five-year-old fuel contd.



Spacer six before decontamination

Spacer six after decontamination







Inspection of five-year-old fuel contd.



Top plate before decontamination

Top plate after decontamination







Inspection of two-year-old fuel



Spacer two before decontamination

Spacer two after decontamination







Oxide measurements

 Only the loose crud was removed - the cladding oxide remained intact





Crud sampling

Brush and grind (loose and tenacious crud) sampling before and after decontamination - detection of Co-60

• Amount of loose crud:

72-year old fuel: 60-65 %

75-year-old fuel: 7-9 %

• Decontamination fraction loose crud:

72-year-old fuel: 42% (brushing), 46 % (grinding)
 7(5-year-old fuel: 100 %)





On-line activity

Activity measurements (total gamma activity) of removed activity from the fuel

Decontamination fraction loose crud

72-year-old fuel: 53 %





Gamma scanning

- Decontamination fraction
 - difficult to evaluate due to high background radiation
- Possible spacer displacements
 - ↗Jahrestagung Kerntechnique, Annual Meeting on Nuclear Technology, May 2002, Germany

• Filter modules

- ↗> 85 % of the activity originated from Co-60
- $\ensuremath{^{>}}$ 80 % of the activity trapped in the 10 μm filter





Spacer displacements

- Two-year old-fuel: No spacer displacement was shown
- Five-year-old fuel: The lowest spacers had moved upwards to the mechanical stop
 The first fuel assembly tested before conditions such as flow and pressure had been established
 Regarded as normal behaviour owing to relaxed spring forces in spent fuel spacers





Filter efficiency

• Water sampling of circulating water before and after filter unit (Co-60 gamma activity)

↗Efficiency 90-95 %

–Colloids and particels < 0,5 μ m pass through

→ Highest at high activity





Decontamination fraction determinations

• Loose crud 2-cycle assembly: 42-53 %

→Single assembly!

Based on definition of loose crud from crud sampling!





Loose /tenacious crud



What is the "real" definition of loose crud?

How much of the loose crud is released to the reactor water and thus causing activity build-up?





ICEDEC[™] - Conclusions

- ICEDEC[™] maintains the fuel integrity
- Only crud is removed the oxide remains intact
- No negative effect on the cladding oxide or the mechanical parts of the fuel is obtained
- The contamination process is easy to control
- No higher mandoses than for services during normal refuelling outages





ICEDEC™ - Goings-on and future

- Discussions with Swedish and US facilities
- Improve DF
- Improve filter efficiency
- Develop ICEDEC[™] for PWR





Acknowledgement

Former project manager Lennart von der Burg is gratefully acknowledged





2003 International ALARA Symposium

3rd EC/IAEA/ISOE Workshop on "Occupational Exposure Management in Nuclear Power Plants"

Portoroz, Slovenia, 17-19 April, 2002

Thank you!!









Reason for not chosing ultrasonic cleaning







Gamma scanning equipment







Gamma scanning - spacer displacement

Measurement of Co-60 along the side of the assembly





