

EPEI ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE

### EPRI BWR/PWR Water Chemistry Guidelines Update and Impact on RP

Daniel M. Wells, PhD – EPRI Project Manager 2012 International ISOE ALARA Symposium Ft. Lauderdale, FL, USA January 11, 2012

#### **Overview**

- EPRI Chemistry Program Introduction
- PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines Revision 7
- BWR Water Chemistry Guidelines Revision, 2013
- EPRI Source Term Related Projects



#### **EPRI Chemistry Program Roles** Industry Strategic & Planning Roles - Lead cross-functional collaboration (internal and external) Technical basis for regulatory change Industry Technology Development Role **Materials** Innovative R&D Degradation Technology demonstrations/evaluations Leadership for Technical Guidance Guidelines/guides and desk references Radiation Technical Fuel Application sourcebooks/decision trees & Waste Reliability Guidance Mgt Benchmarking Unique Tech Transfer/Application Role Software/application tools Chemistry - Communications/conferences/workshops Optimization Onsite presence/assessments Users groups EPRI Chemistry Program Newsletter – EPRI Document 1023604

Updated Bi-annually





## EPRI Water Chemistry Guidelines Background

- Review Required per BWRVIP and SGMP
  - Supporting NEI 97-06 and NEI 03-08
  - Review starting two years after a published revision, and then each year until next revision started
- Review Addresses:
  - Do the identified issues necessitate a GL revision starting in the next year?
  - Does any particular issue require development of interim guidance?
- Applicable to all plant designs



### **EPRI Water Chemistry Guidelines** *Review Scope*

- Review process addresses:
  - Industry Operating Experience
  - Technical work from EPRI Programs
  - Industry Comments
  - Input from NSSS and Owner's Groups
  - Active Interim Guidance
  - Active Deviations
  - Active Inquiries / Review Board Interpretations
  - Relevant SGMP/BWRVIP Information Letters



# PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines

Key Contact: Joel McElrath jmcelrath@epri.com, 1-650-714-4557



#### **PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines** 2011 Review Meeting

- Revision 6 issued December 2007
- Most recent Review meeting held on June 15, 2011
  - 55 Attendees
  - 25 Utilities, 11 countries
  - EPRI, EPRI consultants
  - BWC, Westinghouse, PWROG
- Results
  - There is a need to begin a revision starting in 2012
  - No additional interim guidance is needed at this time considering that the revision is starting in 2012
- 2011 Deliverable
  - EPRI Technical Update (1022827, Dec. 2011)

#### **PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines Revision Needed**

- Incorporation of research results related to Chemistry, Fuels, Materials and Radiation Management
- Incorporation of additional plant operating experience
- Coordination with other EPRI Guidelines, specifically the PWR Fuel Cladding Corrosion and Crud Guidelines (revision starting in 2012)
- Two interim guidance documents and a standing SGMP Review Board interpretation need to be incorporated.

#### **PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines** *Revision 7 Committee*

#### **Preparation:**

- Oct 18, 2011: Notification to Industry
- Dec 01, 2011: Deadline for Identification of Voting, Attending and TRT Members
- Jan 31, 2012: Deadline for additional Technical issues

#### **Revision Committee Meetings:**

- March 27-29, 2012 (Crystal River 3)
- June 2012 (Europe)
- September 2012 (TBD, USA)
- March 2013 (TBD, USA)

#### **Point of Contact:**

- Joel McElrath (650-714-4557)
- jmcelrath@epri.com





## **BWR Water Chemistry Guidelines**

Key Contact: Susan Garcia <u>sgarcia@epri.com</u>, 1-650-855-2239



## **BWR Water Chemistry Guidelines** *Revision Needed*

- Incorporation of research results related to Chemistry, Fuels, Materials and Radiation Management
- Incorporation of additional plant operating experience
  - On-Line Noble Metal (OLNC)
  - TiO<sub>2</sub> Experience
- Need for additional guidance identified
  - Sampling and monitoring
- Two interim guidance documents Issued
  - BWRVIP letter 2010-255
  - BWRVIP letter 2011-097

### BWR Water Chemistry Guidelines Review Committee

- EPRI Staff (Materials, Fuel, Chemistry, Radiation Management)
- Utility Staff domestic and international (same groups as EPRI)
- INPO (non-voting participation)
- NSSS Vendors (GE-H, Toshiba, Hitachi)
- Fuel Vendors (GNF, AREVA, Westinghouse)
- Technical Experts & Consultants



## **BWR Water Chemistry Guidelines** 2013 Revision

#### **Preparation:**

• December 12, 2011: Notification to Industry

**Kickoff Webcast:** 

- February/March 2012
- October/November 2012
- March 2013

#### **Revision Committee Meetings:**

- May 21-23, 2012 Zurich, Switzerland
- June 12, 2012 Santa Fe, New Mexico
- Dec. 4, 2012 Naples, Florida
- June 2013 (TBD, USA)

#### **Point of Contact:**

Susan Garcia (650-855-2239) sgarcia@epri.com



## **Source Term Related Projects**

Key Contact: Dan Wells <u>dwells@epri.com</u>, 1-650-855-8630



#### Chemical Strategy for Reducing Radiation Fields *R&D Plan*

Corrosion and Release	<ul> <li>Chemistry and Releases</li> <li>Zinc injection</li> <li>Interaction of Chemistry and Surface Modification</li> <li>Hydrogen and ECP</li> </ul>	
Corrosion Product Transport	<ul> <li>Advanced resin/media development</li> <li>Primary Side Resin Testing</li> <li>Primary Side Cleanup Optimization</li> <li>Startup and Shutdown Sourcebooks</li> </ul>	
In-Core Deposition, Activation, and Release	<ul> <li>Extended Activity Releases</li> <li><u>Optimized Fuel Crud Characteristics</u></li> <li>Crud Modeling</li> <li>Fuel Cleaning</li> </ul>	
Out-of-Core Deposition and Incorporation	<ul> <li><u>Chemical Injections on Dose Rates</u></li> <li>Decontamination/Flushing</li> <li>Particulate Transport</li> <li><u>Activity Transport and Gamma Scanning</u></li> </ul>	

Ξ

## **Recent EPRI Source Term Related Projects**

#### Cobalt Reduction Sourcebook (2010, 1021103)

- Provides generalized valuation strategies in tables and flowcharts.
- Focuses on cross-discipline cobalt reduction program.
- PWR Activity Transport and Source Term Assessment: Surface Activity Concentrations by Gamma Scanning (2011, 1023027)
  - Compiles available gamma scan campaign data from PWRs.
  - Quantitatively evaluates the effect of zinc on Co-58 release and out-of-core incorporation rates.
- PWR Standard Radiation Monitoring Program Summary (2011, 1023020)
  - Provides updated plant benchmarking comparison for the most recent, available cycle radiation field data collected in the program.

#### • Extended Releases during PWR Shutdowns (2011, 1023026)

Suggest fuel crud deposit thickness is related to instances of extended releases.



# **PWR Activity Transport and Source Term Assessment (2011, 1023027)**

Collect and evaluate surface activity data from PWR primary system components and improve understanding of the impact of source term reduction technologies on activity transport and radiation field generation.



## **PWR Surface Activity Concentration Data** *General Results (1023027)*

- Available PWR gamma spectroscopic data
  - 22 cycle, 6 collection methodologies
- Trends incredibly complex
  - Concentrations significantly impacted by minimal operating chemistry changes
- Co-58 and Co-60 are major isotopes
  - Generally Co-58 > Co-60
  - Co-60 major contributor to dose due to high energy gammas



### **Quantitative Activity Transport Balance** *Activity Balance Using Gamma Scanning Data*

#### Balance of radioisotopic elements developed

Net release rate from fuel = Net incorporation rates into Stainless Steel and Inconel + Decay in coolant + Letdown removal

 At steady state equilibrium, net incorporation rate into surface oxides equals decay rate in oxides

#### $kAC = \lambda AC$

 Application to Co-58 with piping and tubing surface specific activity data (µCi/cm<sup>2</sup>) from gamma scans

Pressurized Water Reactor Activity Transport and Source Term Assessment: Surface Activity Concentrations by Gamma Scanning. EPRI Palo Alto, CA: 2011. 1023027.



## Effect of Zinc on Co-58 Transport Release and Incorporation Rates

- Piping surface incorporation rate constant decreased ~100x
- 35% decrease in core release rate
  - Decrease also observed at BWRs
- 2012 application to BWR coupon samples and other chemistry changes

**Calculated Co-58 Release and Incorporation Rates** 350 300 Release/Incorporation Rate (µCi/s) 250 200 150 100 50 0 SS Incorporation **Release Rate** Alloy 600 **Incorporation Rate** from Fuel Rate

EOC 8, Pre-Zinc

■ EOC 11, Post-Zinc

Pressurized Water Reactor Activity Transport and Source Term Assessment: Surface Activity Concentrations by Gamma Scanning. EPRI Palo Alto, CA: 2011. 1023027.

© 2012 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.



# **Optimization of Fuel Crud Characteristics** for Reducing Radiation Fields (NEW PROJECT)



- could result in desirable radiation management performance
- Provide a route to effecting core residence time and generation of activated corrosion products
  Incorporate radiation field reduction drivers into core/plant optimization activities

**Benefits:** 



#### Reducing Source Term Residence Times Background and Motivation

 Deposited activity on out-of-core surfaces results from activation in the core

 ${}^{59}Co(n,\gamma){}^{60}Co$  produced by thermal neutrons  ${}^{58}Ni(n,p){}^{58}Co$  produced by fast neutrons

- Neutron cross-sections of Ni and Co require deposition and increased residence times (beyond time in water)
  - Consider all physical, mechanical, chemical properties of crud that effect residence times
  - Leverage previous work on crystal habit modification\*

\*EPRI Palo Alto, CA: Reports1016243 and 1021649.

### Reduction of Parent Nuclide Activation Background and Motivation

- Cladding surface characteristics effect deposition and release
- Thermal neutron flux (Co-60 activation) and water channel geometry
- Zoning to minimize local high boiling/temperature
  - Burnable absorbers and radial enrichment zoning
  - Flow zoning—higher power assemblies have increased flow
- Grid design can effect mixing, heat transfer, and pressure drop
- Rod geometry diameter and length
- Fuel Cycle Length

Bill Allmon, FRP P-TAC, San Francisco, CA, February 2011



### Chemical, Fuel, Core Design Control Evaluation Phase I Task

- Establish properties bands for optimized fuel crud that impact residence times for and activation of corrosion products
  - What stays in the coolant or on the fuel does not cause worker dose
- Evaluate parameters of fuel and core design that could result in desirable radiation management performance
  - Prioritize control parameters for cost, ease of implementation, and effectiveness

Figure from *Plant Specific Recommendations for PWR Radiation Source Term Reduction*, EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2009. 1019225.



© 2012 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

# Impact of Chemical Injection on Dose Rates New 2012-2013 Project

TR: Effect of Uprates on Dose Rates	TR: Effect Chem. Inj. on RCS Dose Rates	TR: Chem. Injections on Aux. Sys. Dose Rates	Advanced Mitigation Techniques	
Out-of-Core Deposition and Release				
2011	2012	2013	2014 -	

Provide a parameter to evaluate the effect of chemistry on dose rates and improved understanding of reactor water radiocobalt concentration's effect on radiation field generation.



## Impact of Chemical Injection on Dose Rates Background and Motivation

BRAC vs. Soluble Co-60 by Application Cycle



### **Predictive Tool for Radiation Fields** *New Project Initiative*

- Issue: Effectively manage worker dose to meet new industry goals
- Identified Gap: Ability to predict <u>location</u>, <u>magnitude</u>, and <u>composition/isotopics</u>, of radiation fields during both normal and offnormal operations



## **Predictive Tool for Radiation Fields** *Initial Project Tasks*

- ID & incentivize stakeholders
- Evaluate available tools:
  - Understand what is calculated
  - Physical models
  - Input requirements
  - Outputs
  - Restrictions/limitations
- Identify how the pieces connect
- Analyze Gaps
  - Information requirements
  - Modeling requirements
- Define the tool to be developed



## **EPRI Water Chemistry Program Summary**

- Water chemistry optimization (defined in guidance documents) supports crack mitigation, maintenance of fuel cladding integrity, and radiation field management objectives
  - Both BWR and PWR Primary Guidelines will begin revision in 2012
- Efforts are continuing in order to improve technical understanding of radiation field generation
  - These efforts are collaborative across the institute
  - Ultimate goal to effectively manage worker dose
- Plant data, reliably and accurately collected, remains the best source of information



#### **Together...Shaping the Future of Electricity**

